

Planning Future Science Reviews

Draft (9/30/19)

Delta Independent Science Board

Background

By legislative mandate, the Delta Independent Science Board (Delta ISB) reviews the adequacy of the science in support of adaptive management of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh (the Delta). Completed reviews covered habitat restoration, water quality, fish and flows, the Delta as an evolving place, levee hazards, adaptive management, and the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP). Ongoing reviews on the monitoring enterprise, water supply reliability, and non-native species are expected to be completed by the end of 2020.

The Delta ISB review topics have been guided by both the Delta Plan and input from the Delta community through retreats, public comments, and surveys. At this time, the Delta ISB is in the process of brainstorming ideas for future reviews. To help with brainstorming ideas, the Delta ISB is seeking your ideas on

- 1) What are the most important and challenging science issues you see in the coming years?
- 2) What scientific themes would you like to see the Delta ISB review?

Based on previous Delta ISB planning retreats, Delta Plan revisions, and discussions, below are a few topics that the Delta ISB is considering in the future. Topics are broken out by the chapters of the Delta Plan, and general topics that span the chapters of the Delta Plan.

Water Supply (Chapter 3)

The Delta ISB is in the process of finishing up its review on the science used to estimate water supply reliability. At this time, there are no specific ideas on future review topics.

Delta Ecosystem (Chapter 4)

Current and past Delta ISB reviews have centered around the core strategies presented in the Delta Plan from 2013:

- Create more natural functional Delta flows
- Restore habitat
- Improve water quality to protect the ecosystem
- Prevent introduction of and manage non-native species impacts
- Improve hatcheries and harvest management

With the exception of hatcheries and harvest management (resource use), the Delta ISB has undertaken reviews on each of the core strategies. Ideas under consideration are below.

Restoring Ecosystem Function

Restoration was the very first thematic review completed by the Delta ISB, and remains a core strategy in the upcoming amendment of Chapter 4 of the Delta Plan. There will

be an opportunity to assess how the Delta ISB recommendations from 2013 were addressed, whether they are still relevant, and explore new ways of thinking on restoration in the context of novel ecosystems, resilience, and field experimentation.

Climate Change

Climate change will have major impacts on the ecosystem, which could result in higher temperatures, changes in the flow regime, sea level rise, changes in salinity, and other implications. Given the broad scope, the Delta ISB can break out the review in two parts:

- Sea Level Rise: This issue will affect water quality (temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen), flows, habitats and food webs.
- Temperatures, Precipitation and Extreme Events: The Delta is undergoing rapid change and the planned workshop to inform a science needs assessment will identify some of the fundamental issues that could trigger a scientific review.

Environmental Forecasting

Adaptive management requires identification of expected outcomes and threshold triggers for action. The Delta ISB can review how well the science enterprise can forecast environmental changes, and at what time and spatial scales. This review could identify gaps in research to improve environmental forecasting.

Delta as an Evolving Place (Chapter 5)

In 2017, the Delta ISB finished a review on the research of the social and natural processes that sustain the unique values of the Delta as an evolving place. Current ideas on this review topic are below.

Socio-Economic Drivers

The Delta ISB is in the process of reviewing the monitoring enterprise in the Delta and assessing whether information obtained from monitoring are meeting the needs of management. Given the potentially vast scope of socio-economic monitoring related to the Delta, the Delta ISB's current review will only focus on the direct socio-economic drivers of ecosystem change, such as hydrologic alterations (e.g., water exports), habitat alterations (e.g., levees), biological resource use (e.g., fishing), human intrusion and disturbance, and transportation and service corridors. It will not focus on indirect socio-economic drivers of ecosystem change, such as demographics, economics, politics or religion. The Delta ISB could conduct a review on socio-economics drivers that were considered out of scope for the current monitoring review.

Water Quality (Chapter 6)

In 2018, the Delta ISB finished a review on contaminants and nutrients research in the Delta, and on how research findings have been used in decisions related to ecosystem health in the Delta. Current ideas on this review topic are below.

Water Quality and Hydrodynamic Modeling

Water operations for ecosystems and water supply in the Delta depend on planning and operational understanding and analyses of the interaction of Delta hydrodynamics and water quality. Common water quality concerns in this context include salinity,

temperature, turbidity, and the transport of species and nutrients. Several computer models are commonly used for planning and operational analysis of Delta hydrodynamics and water quality, including DSM2, Schism, RMA2, UNTRIM, and Deltares codes. These models have different institutional origins and support, performance, applicability, and capabilities. The diversity of modelling efforts and applications seem to indicate that a review might be useful.

Risk Reduction (Chapter 7)

In 2016, the Delta ISB finished its review on earthquakes and high water as hazards to Delta levees. At this time, there are no specific ideas on future review topics.

General Topics

Professional Support for Science and Quality of Scientific Communications in the Delta

Two common concerns for Delta science and its involvement in decision support are the professional support and development of scientists and science communications among agencies. These topics have been mentioned as important and perhaps less than optimally effective in the Delta. The first concern commonly involves access to professional journals, incentives for peer reviewed publication, management training, and travel to conferences. The communications concern can have many aspects, including: effective professional communications, communications of science across agencies and programs involved in the Delta, and effective communications generally among scientists, managers, policy-makers, and the public. This is an important topic and it should be done as an external review if it is not undertaken as a review of the Delta ISB.

Emerging Tools

New technologies can enable scientists and managers to assess the status of critical resources and the condition of Delta ecosystems more rapidly, more precisely, and more efficiently, thereby enhancing monitoring and adaptive management. This review would focus on how technologies, such as environmental DNA (eDNA), remote sensing and tracking, uses of drones, artificial intelligence, risk assessment and decision-support, and other technologies can contribute to the effective management of the Delta and its resources. It might also explore the potential uses of genomic tools, such as CRISPR-Cas9 and gene drives to control or eradicate invasive species.

Data Management

This review could focus on how data are collected and managed in the Delta to inform adaptive management. A recent article by [Pendelton et al. \(2019\)](#) looked at the challenges of data management, sharing, and analysis to inform management of ocean ecosystems. The article provided solutions to overcome these challenges and how to make better use of all the collected data. A similar review could be pursued in the Delta. The Delta ISB could review the implementation of Assembly Bill 1755 (“The Open and Transparent Water Data Act”), and the efforts of the California Water Quality Monitoring Council and the IEP’s Data Utilization Workgroup to improve data management and usability in the Delta. Aspects of data management have been covered in the Delta

ISB's adaptive management review and IEP review, and will likely also be covered in the Delta ISB's review on the monitoring enterprise.

Endangered Species Act Regulations

The Endangered Species Act and the regulations to enforce it have led to conflicts between various stakeholders in the Delta, particularly as implementation of the Act has affected the allocations of water between fishes and farmers. A deep analysis of the provisions of the Act, what the Act requires, where there is flexibility in implementing its requirements, what the trade-offs entail for whom, and the implications of political challenges to the Act could provide clarity and define potential pathways toward resolving conflicts.

Program Reviews

Delta Science Program

The Delta ISB recently reviewed a specific science program, the IEP, because of its importance to the overall Delta science enterprise. Another very broadly influential science program in the Delta is the Delta Science Program. Thus, it may be appropriate for the Delta ISB to review this critical science program. Whether the Delta ISB should conduct the review itself, or work with others to commission and conduct a review, should be the subject of additional discussion.

Self-review of the Delta ISB

The Delta ISB's last self-assessment was in 2015, which resulted in revisions to the Delta ISB's operating guidelines ([Delta ISB 2015](#)), and in 2017, which explored how the Delta ISB could make the reviews more useful and ideas for effective follow-up actions upon the completion of a review. A self-review by new Delta ISB membership with perhaps one continuing member could offer new insight. Topics to include could be:

- How successful has the Delta ISB been at being independent? Has it changed over time? Is the Delta ISB obligated to follow all requests made by the Delta Science Program?
- Besides the Delta ISB's programmatic reviews by theme, what has the Delta ISB done that is original (e.g., the Delta ISB recommendation to the Delta Plan Interagency Implementation Committee on the need to develop a long-term science needs assessment)?
- As the Delta ISB enters the second decade, what needs to be improved in terms of the Delta ISB's activities?

References

Delta ISB. 2015. Operating Guidelines. <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/isb/2015-12-07-isb-operating-guidelines.pdf>

Pendelton et al. 2019. Disrupting data sharing for a healthier ocean. ICES Journal of Marine Science. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz068>