

Exploring scientific and management implications of upper trophic level interactions in Delta food-webs

An assessment of the scientific needs to improve management actions

Delta Independent Science Board

Final Prospectus

June 19, 2023

Motivation

Food-web interactions may directly influence how environmental drivers and management actions affect the abundances of individual species, as changes in one species can affect the abundances of other species (Lathrop et al. 2002; Jordán et al. 2006; Naiman et al. 2012; Bunnell et al. 2014; de Mutsert et al. 2016; Townsend et al. 2019). There is substantial evidence from other large aquatic ecosystems that food-web interactions can have strong effects on fish abundances, predation risk and nutrient/contaminant cycling and that may also be the case in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (hereafter, the Delta). While traditional management is generally focused on how an individual driver or a combination of drivers (e.g., flow and temperature) directly affects the abundance of a single species, food-web interactions can also shift abundances of species and are important to consider for ecosystem-based management (Korpinen et al. 2022). Past studies in the Delta have primarily focused on the effects of bottom-up processes in sustaining populations of individual species (Jassby 2003; Cloern et al. 2016; Cloern et al. 2021), but recent work has demonstrated that top-down effects can also drive food-web dynamics in the Delta (Rogers et al. 2022). This review will focus on food-web interactions at

upper trophic levels (primarily fishes) to explore connections that can affect multi-species management of the Delta.

Background

The Delta, as an evolving ecosystem, is expected to experience significant modifications resulting from climate change, sea level rise, major flooding and storms, invasive species, water supply diversions, shifts in land use, restoration actions, changes in nutrient and contaminant loading and a growing human population (Norgaard et al. 2021). Understanding and predicting how socio-ecological processes affect the abundances of fish species and ecosystem sustainability are at the core of Delta management and are critical to achieving the coequal goals (Delta Stewardship Council and Delta Science Program 2022). Here, we propose to examine whether a quantitative understanding of upper-level food-web interactions can advance the ability to predict fish species abundances, predation rates and contaminant cycling, as a function of changing environmental and social drivers and management actions.

Understanding food-web interactions and developing a food-web model for the Delta were key recommendations from both the Strategic Science Needs Assessment (DPIIC and Delta ISB 2021) and the Delta Independent Science Board's (Delta ISB) Non-Native Species Review (Delta ISB 2021). A quantitative understanding of food-web interactions is needed to evaluate the impact of management actions aimed at supporting fish populations under climate and other system changes. There is, however, a basic need to evaluate existing information on Delta food-webs, identify information gaps impeding progress, and link resulting knowledge to improve management actions. The Delta ISB contends that better understanding of processes across trophic levels will improve management actions and assessments of impacts on individual species and foster the feasibility of multi-species management in the Delta.

Research Gaps

Various components of species interactions have been previously examined in the Delta, but a quantitative understanding of major food-web processes remains

either largely unexplored or provides equivocal results. For instance, the role of striped bass as a predator of native fishes (e.g., Delta smelt and juvenile Chinook salmon) has conflicting results, with some studies pointing to striped bass as a generalist predator (Grossman et al. 2016), and others showing that during specific seasons and environmental conditions striped bass feed primarily on native species (Brandl et al. 2021). Prey switching is evident in several fishes across seasons and habitat gradients, such as between densely or sparsely vegetated sites (Whitley and Bollens 2014), but the frequency of prey-switching across the food-web has been challenging to quantify. Moderate densities of non-native submerged aquatic vegetation was shown to increase the habitat for juvenile largemouth bass, but larger fish were found at all densities of vegetation (Conrad et al. 2014), indicating the importance of including life history in examining food-web interactions. Other knowledge gaps that have been identified include the role of terrestrial predators (reptiles, birds, and mammals) in fish predation, the need to understand anti-predator behaviors (Grossman et al. 2016), and the consequences of food web processes on contaminants and water quality. Overall, multi-species food-web interactions at upper trophic levels have not been adequately linked and incorporated into models guiding management actions (Brown et al. 2016; Sturrock et al. 2022), as the management focus has been primarily on single species' responses to environmental drivers.

Food-Web Models

Food-web modeling is the primary tool for evaluating the effects of environmental drivers on species abundance and interactions. They provide details of interactions and connections among species that reveal the likely responses of species' abundances, predation risk and contaminant loads to future changes (e.g., Osakpolor et al. 2021; Naman et al. 2022). Although the responses of individual fish species to water flow, salinity, and temperature are modeled during the course of evaluating management strategies of some fish species (Michel et al. 2021), the responses of one group of fishes to changes in abundances of other species has been largely ignored. Importantly, quantitative models with predictive capabilities are the most beneficial in that they can evaluate the influence of environmental and management changes on multiple future scenarios (e.g. Trifonova et al. 2017) and the aquatic ecosystem as a whole.

Existing assessments of food resources rely heavily on estimates of primary producers and their immediate consumers (e.g. phytoplankton and zooplankton) (Jassby 2003; Cloern et al. 2016). While these organisms serve as the primary food source of the fish at the base of the food-web, the level and direction of responses by individual species to changes in prey resources are often dependent on species-specific food-web interactions. Overall, this “bottom up” approach emphasizes the effects to the ecosystem rather than recognizing the potential for the “top down” effects of predators, including the actions of humans (e.g. through fishing or management). The Delta ISB believes it is important that this review prioritizes gaps and techniques to improve the representation of upper trophic levels, especially fishes.

Our goal is to assess the importance of food-web interactions in the Delta and to identify where improved understanding and tools (e.g., food-web models) might substantially improve predictions of an individual species’ response to environmental drivers and management actions. This review will evaluate the degree to which the inclusion of food-web interactions can benefit and facilitate multi-species management in the Delta and whether available data and science can support the development of such tools. Topics include:

- Evaluate the status of existing knowledge about upper trophic-level food-web interactions,
- Identify gaps in data and understanding needed to develop and implement upper-trophic level food-web modeling, and
- Determine the potential management applications of upper-trophic level food-web models.

The review will draw on scientific and subject-matter experts from within and outside the Delta. Together they will assess the existing data and knowledge that could inform and improve fish management from an ecosystem perspective. They will articulate gaps in knowledge of trophic interactions and identify tools that could be employed to support the predictive management and the sustainability of the Delta ecosystem.

Audience

This review is intended for managers, scientists, and policymakers developing or using models to inform management of fish or aquatic ecosystems across the Delta, all of whom could benefit from integrated knowledge of species-specific trophic interactions to improve projections of how species' abundances and the ecosystem respond to changes. The focus is on determining how and where knowledge of species interactions and their impact on upper trophic levels can improve management decisions and their tradeoffs. The review is anticipated to generate information and recommendations used by those conducting water management and ecosystem restoration, including water management agencies, natural resource agencies, nonprofit organizations, and Delta community-based organizations.

Inputs to the Review

Literature Review

We will draw upon a mixture of primary and gray literature, local and non-local experts, datasets, and other resources from previous work conducted by Delta agencies and groups [e.g., National Center for Ecological Analysis & Synthesis (NCEAS) food-web modeling group (e.g., Rogers et al. 2022), the Interagency Ecological Program, and the 2022-2026 Science Action Agenda (Delta Stewardship Council and Delta Science Program, 2022)]. Delta-relevant literature searches and previous research will help inform the themes, structure, and speaker list for a two-day workshop.

Stakeholder Discussions

The Delta ISB plans to gather perspectives from people in academia, NGOs, government agencies, and other stakeholder and rightsholder groups with an interest in food web issues to promote a responsive review.

The purpose of the discussions is to tailor the workshop with themes of particular relevance in the Delta. Our initial set of potential management questions (see below) and the speaker list, structure, and content of the workshop will be refined

by obtaining local knowledge through the targeted group discussions. We will also seek public comment on the prospectus during scheduled public meetings and a public comment period.

Food-Web Workshop

The Delta ISB will host a two-day workshop, which will consist of integrated panels of scientists, managers, and stakeholders with substantial experience in food-web dynamics, ecology, and key management concerns within the Delta. Additionally, experts from other relevant ecosystems will provide examples of how food-web models and better understanding of species' interactions have been successfully applied to fundamental management problems in other large ecosystems (e.g. the Great Lakes, Columbia River, Chesapeake Bay, Gulf of Mexico, freshwater lakes). The workshop will help identify the science, data, and modeling required to develop a set of tools that can be applied to understanding the potential response of fish species to management actions and multi-species interactions in an evolving Delta system. Examples of fundamental questions include:

1. What are the important food-web interactions affecting predictions of how restoration, climate change, and changes to system management (e.g., flow rates or other environmental drivers) impact the abundances of key species, predation risks and contaminant burdens in fishes?
2. How could a quantitative understanding of food-web interactions improve the design of performance metrics used for upper trophic levels in the Delta?
3. How will changes in food resources at lower trophic levels (e.g. phytoplankton and zooplankton) increase food resources for upper-trophic level species?
4. How well can one predict how current or future non-native species may impact native fish abundances or survival via predation, competition for food resources, and/or as new food sources?
5. What are the critical inputs (e.g. data) and outputs to a food-web model that could help make these predictions?
6. What level of complexity (time, space, parameter scales) does a Delta food-web model need to have for useful management solutions?

7. What could food-web models reveal about the indirect effects of management choices on endangered species living in the Delta?

The workshop will include a series of discussions with expert panels drawn from fisheries management, non-profit organizations, academic institutions, and other stakeholder groups focused on the following tentative themes:

- The most important food-web interactions in the Delta (e.g. those that can be used to improve the impact of management decisions).
- The state of current tools used in the Delta to address management questions related to native species and species interactions
- The management applications of food-web models at the ecosystem scale
- Recommendations for future science priorities and essential information

Breakout groups focused on scientific and informational needs will follow expert panel discussions. Those discussions will form the basis of recommendations provided in the review.

Timeframe

| Target Date | Benchmark |
|--------------------|--|
| June 2023 | Prospectus finalized |
| August 2023 | Finalize workshop agenda and invite speakers. |
| September 2023 | Finish literature review, annotated bibliography Open registration for workshop |
| October 2023 | Send out white paper |
| November 2023 | Host workshop |
| January 2024 | Release draft workshop summary report for public comments |
| Spring/Summer 2024 | Finalize summary report and findings |

Related Reviews

Some studies of food-web interactions (predation, competition, and so forth) in the Delta are cited above. There are relatively few concrete examples where specific multi-species interactions or upper trophic level conditions are incorporated into

management models (Bryndum-Buchholz et al. 2020). A few reviews of conceptual or empirical models of the Delta food-web include:

- Rogers/Bashevkin, et al. 2022. [Evaluating top-down, bottom-up, and environmental drivers of pelagic food web dynamics along an estuarine gradient.](#)
- Osakpolor et al. 2021. [Mini-review of process-based food web models and their application in aquatic-terrestrial meta-ecosystems.](#) Ecological Modeling. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109710>
- Brown et al. 2016. [Food Webs of the Delta, Suisun Bay, and Suisun Marsh: An Update on Current Understanding and Possibilities for Management.](#) San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4mk5326r>
- Durand, J. R. 2015. [A Conceptual Model of the Aquatic Food Web of the Upper San Francisco Estuary.](#) *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 13(3). <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0gw2884c>
- Bauer, M. 2010. [An Ecosystem model of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Bay, California, USA.](#) Master's Thesis, California State University, Chico.

These reviews have identified important abiotic and biotic drivers for food-webs, determined that both bottom-up and top-down drivers are important for the Delta (depending on the location), and found that the roles of both environmental drivers and trophic forcing are important for the Delta (Baxter et al. 2010; Rogers et al. 2022). We will build on these reviews by identifying new opportunities for applying multi-level species interactions within the Delta into management. Previous reviews have focused on phytoplankton, zooplankton, and bottom-up limits/drivers with respect to overall food resources for native fishes (Jassby et al. 2003). Although we will draw upon this work, this review will stress the importance of determining interactions that exist at upper trophic levels (fishes and their terrestrial predators), and by working toward the development of an empirical model that can be adaptively built and used to predict future changes to the Delta ecosystem.

Expected Products and Outcomes

This review will produce a report assessing the current knowledge of the upper-trophic level species interactions – food-web dynamics – in the Delta. It will explore how understanding food-web interactions can improve predictions of how environmental drivers and management actions affect aquatic community abundances. This review aims to consolidate current knowledge of food-web interactions, to encourage the development of a common database to contain this information, and to build connections across investigators and organizations conducting related or complementary research. Additionally, the report will summarize the tools currently used to evaluate single-species management (such as for native fishes), and explore emerging tools and techniques, such as the development of quantitative food-web modeling, which would improve multi-species and ecosystem-scale management. Recommendations will support the development and implementation of multi-trophic level food-web modeling in the Delta. Additional products may include official Delta ISB recommendations to DPIIC about food-web modeling, and a scientific publication.

References

- Bauer, M. 2010. [An Ecosystem model of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Bay, California, USA](#). Master's Thesis, California State University, Chico.
- Baxter RD, Breuer R, Brown LR, Conrad L, Feyrer F, Fong S, Gehrts K, Grimaldo LF, Herbold B, Hrodey P, et al. 2010. 2010 Pelagic Organism Decline Work Plan and Synthesis of Results. Interagency Ecological Program. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/docs/cmnt091412/sldmwa/baxter_et_al_iep_2010.pdf.
- Boyer, K.E., Safran, S.M., Khanna, S., & Patten, M.V. 2023. [Landscape Transformation and Variation in Invasive Species Abundance Drive Change in Primary Production of Aquatic Vegetation in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 20(4). <http://dx.doi.org/10.15447/sfew.s.2023v20iss4art2>

- Brandl, S., Schreier, B., Conrad, J.L., May, B., & Baerwald, M. 2021, [Enumerating Predation on Chinook Salmon, Delta Smelt, and Other San Francisco Estuary Fishes Using Genetics](#). *North American Journal of Fish Management*, 41: 1053-1065. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nafm.10582>
- Brown, L.R., Kimmerer, W., Conrad, J., Lesmeister, S., & Mueller-Solger, A. 2016. [Food Webs of the Delta, Suisun Bay, and Suisun Marsh: An Update on Current Understanding and Possibilities for Management](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 14(3). doi:<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfew.2016v14iss3art4>
Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4mk5326r>
- Bryndum-Buchholz, A., Tittensor D., & Lotze H. 2020. [The status of climate change adaptation in fisheries management: Policy, legislation, and implementation](#). *Fish and Fisheries*, 2021;00:1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12586>
- Bunnell, D.B., Barbiero, R.P., Ludsin, S.A., Madenjian, C.P., Warren, G.J., Dolan, D.M., Brenden, T.O., Briland, R., Gorman, O.T., He, J.X., Johengen, T.H., Lantry, B.F., Lesht, B.M., Nalepa, T.F., Riley, S.C., Riseng, C.M., Treska, T.J., Tsehaye, I., Walsh, M.G., Warner, D.M., & Weidel, B.C. 2014. [Changing Ecosystem Dynamics in the Laurentian Great Lakes: Bottom-Up and Top-Down Regulation](#). *BioScience* 64(1): 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bit001>.
- Catford, J.A., Naiman, R.J., Chambers, L.E., Roberts, J., Douglas, M., & Davies, P. 2013. [Predicting novel riparian ecosystems in a changing climate](#). *Ecosystems* 16:382-400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9566-7>
- Chagaris D., Drew, K., Schueller, A., Cieri, M., Brito, J. & Buchheister, A. 2020. [Ecological Reference Points for Atlantic Menhaden Established Using an Ecosystem Model of Intermediate Complexity](#). *Frontiers in Marine Science* 7:606417. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.606417>
- Cloern, J.E., Safran, S., Vaughn, L.S., Robinson, A., Whipple, A., Boyer, K.E., Drexler, J.Z., Naiman, R.J., Pinckney, J.L., Howe, E.R., Canuel, E.A., & Grenier, J.L. 2021. [On the human appropriation of wetland primary production](#). *Science of the Total Environment* 785: 147097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147097>

- Cloern, J.E., Robinson, A., Richey, A., Grenier, L., Grossinger, R., Boyer, K.E., Burau, J., Canuel, E.A., DeGeorge, J.F., Drexler, J.Z., Enright, C., Howe, E.R., Kneib, R., Mueller-Solger, A., Naiman, R.J., Pickney, J.L., Safran, S.M., Schoellhamer, D., & Simenstad, C. 2016. [Primary Production in the Delta: Then and Now](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 14(3). doi: <https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2016v14iss3art1>.
- Conrad, J.L., Bibian, A.J., Weinersmith, K.L., De Carion, D., Young, M.L., Crain, P., Hestir, E.L., Santos, M.J., & Sih, A. 2016. [Novel Species Interactions in a Highly Modified Estuary: Association of Largemouth Bass with Brazilian Waterweed *Egeria densa*](#). *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 145:2, 249-263, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2015.1114521>.
- [Delta ISB] Delta Independent Science Board. 2021. [The Science of Non-native Species in a Dynamic Delta](#). <https://deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/isb/products/2021-05-21-isb-non-native-species-review.pdf>
- [DPIIC and Delta ISB] Delta Plan Interagency Implementation Committee and Delta Independent Science Board [DPIIC and Delta ISB]. 2021. Science Needs Assessment Integrating Science for a Rapidly-Changing Delta.
- Delta Stewardship Council and Delta Science Program. 2022. [2022-2026 Science Action Agenda](#). <https://scienceactionagenda.deltacouncil.ca.gov/pdf/2022-2026-science-action-agenda.pdf>
- Durand, J.R. 2015. [A Conceptual Model of the Aquatic Food Web of the Upper San Francisco Estuary](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 13(3). doi:<https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2015v13iss3art5>
- Grossman, G.D. 2016. [Predation on Fishes in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta: Current Knowledge and Future Directions](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2016v14iss2art8>
- Jassby A., Cloern J., & Müller-Solger, A. 2003. [Phytoplankton fuels Delta food web](#). *California Agriculture* 57(4):104-109. <https://doi.org/10.3733/ca.v057n04p104>.

- Jordán, F., Liu, W.-C., & Davis, A. J. 2006. [Topological keystone species: measures of positional importance in food webs](#). *Oikos* 112: 535-546.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.13724.x>
- Korpinen, S., Uusitalo, L., Nordström, M.C., Dierking, J., Tomczak, M.T., Haldin, J., Optiz, S., Bonsdorff, E., & Neuenfeldt, S. 2022. [Food web assessments in the Baltic Sea: Models bridging the gap between indicators and policy needs](#). *Ambio* **51**, 1687–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01692-x>
- Lathrop, R.C., Johnson, B.M., Johnson, T.B., Vogelsang, M.T., Carpenter, S.R., Hrabik, T.R., Kitchell, J.F., Magnuson, J.J., Rudstam, L.G., & Stewart, R.S. 2002. [Stocking piscivores to improve fishing and water clarity: a synthesis of the Lake Mendota biomanipulation project](#). *Freshwater Biology* 47: 2410-2424.
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2002.01011.x>
- MacNally, R., Thomson, J.R., Kimmerer, W.J., Feyrer, F., Newman, K.B., Sih, A., Bennett, W.A., Brown, L., Fleishman, E., Culberson, S.D. & Castillo, G., 2010. [Analysis of pelagic species decline in the upper San Francisco Estuary using multivariate autoregressive modeling \(MAR\)](#). *Ecological Applications* 20(5), 2010, pp. 1417–1430. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1724.1>.
- Michel, C.J., Notch, J.J., Cordoleani, F., Ammann, A.J., & Danner, E.M. 2021. [Nonlinear survival of imperiled fish informs managed flows in a highly modified river](#). *Ecosphere* 12(5):e03498. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3498>.
- de Mutsert, K., Steenbeek, J., Lewis, K., Buszowski, J., Cowan, J.H. Jr., Christensen, V. 2016. [Exploring effects of hypoxia on fish and fisheries in the northern Gulf of Mexico using a dynamic spatially explicit ecosystem model](#). *Ecological Modeling* 331: 142-150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.10.013>.
- Naiman, R.J., Alldredge, J.R., Beauchamp, D., Bisson, P.A., Congleton, J., Henny, C.J., Huntly, N., Lamberson, R., Levings, C., Merrill, E., Percy, W., Rieman, B., Ruggerone, G., Scarnecchia, D., Smouse, P., & Wood, C.C. 2012. [Developing a broader scientific foundation for river restoration: Columbia River food webs](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* 109 (52): 21201–21207.
www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1213408109

- Naiman, R.J. 2013. [Socio-ecological complexity and the restoration of river ecosystems](#). *Inland Waters* 3: 391-410. DOI: 10.5268/IW-3.4.667
- Naman, S.M., White, S., Bellmore, R., McHugh, P., Kaylor, M., Baxter, C., Danehy, R., Naiman, R.J., & Puls, A. 2022. [Food web perspectives and methods for riverine fish conservation](#). *WIREs Water*, e1590. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1590>
- Nobriga, M.L., & Feyrer, F. 2007. [Shallow-Water Piscivore-Prey Dynamics in California's Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 5(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2007v5iss2art4>.
- Norgaard, R., Wiens, J., Brandt, S., Canuel, E., Collier, T., Dale, V., Fernando, H., Holzer, T., Luoma, S., & Resh, V. 2021. [Preparing Scientists, Policymakers, and Managers for a Fast-Forward Future](#). *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* 19 (2). <https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2021v19iss2art2>.
- Osakpolor, S.E., Kattwinkel, M., Schirmel, J., Feckler, A., Manfrin, A., & Schäfer, R.B. 2021. [Mini-review of process-based food web models and their application in aquatic-terrestrial meta-ecosystems](#). *Ecological Modeling* 458(15): 109710 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109710>.
- Rogers, T.L, Bashevkin, S.M., Burdi, C.E., Colombano, D.D., Dudley, P.N., Mahardja, B.M., Mitchell, L., Perry, S., & Saffarinia, P. 2022. [Evaluating top-down, bottom-up, and environmental drivers of pelagic food web dynamics along an estuarine gradient](#). *EcoEvoRxiv*. Posted 12/15/2022. <https://doi.org/10.32942/X2MK5Z>
- Sturrock, A.M., Ogaz, M., Neal, K., Corline, N.J., Peek, R., Myers, D., Schlupe, S., Levinson, M., Johnson, R.C., & Jeffres, C.A. 2022. [Floodplain trophic subsidies in a modified river network: managed foodscapes of the future?](#) *Landscape Ecology* 37, 2991–3009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-022-01526-5>
- Thomson, J.R., Kimmerer, W.J., Brown, L.R., Newman, K.B., Nally, R.M., Bennett, W.A., Feyrer, F., & Fleishman, E. 2010. [Bayesian change point analysis of abundance trends for pelagic fishes in the upper San Francisco Estuary](#). *Ecological Applications* 20: 1431-1448. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0998.1>

- Townsend, H., Harvey, C.J., deReynier, Y., Davis, D., Zador, S.G., Gaichas, S., Weijerman, M., Hazen, E.L., & Kaplan, I.C. 2019. [Progress on implementing Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management in the United States through the use of ecosystem models and analysis](#). *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6:641. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00641>
- Trifonova, N., Maxwell, D., Pinnegar, J., Kenny, A., & Tucker, A. 2017. [Predicting ecosystem responses to changes in fisheries catch, temperature, and primary productivity with a dynamic Bayesian network mode](#). *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 74(5): 1334-1343. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw231>
- Whitley, S.N., & Bollens, S.M. [Fish assemblages across a vegetation gradient in a restoring tidal freshwater wetland: diets and potential for resource competition](#). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 97, 659–674 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-013-0168-9>