From: Michael A. Brodsky <<u>michael@brodskylaw.net</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2023 8:19 AM
To: Delta Council ISB <<u>DeltaCouncilISB@deltacouncil.ca.gov</u>>

Subject: comments on draft prospectus decision-making under deep uncertainty

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments on this important topic. I would like to ask about issues affecting mathmatical modeling. In general, discussions about projections under uncertainty start with a proviso about the limitaitons of modeling, perhaps quipping that "all models are wrong, but some models are useful." And then modeling limitatons are forgotten and the results are discussed as if they were facts.

Modeling, especially in a conplex system like the bay-delta, always involves numerous assumptions and choices on the part of the modeler. When the modeling party has an interest in the outcome of the modeling, bias in assumptions and choices is an ever present danger. Also, the models themselves have numerous assumptions built in that might tend to skew results one way or the other. Models that use machine learning and/or AI are particularly subject to bias and opaque methodology.

So my question is, how can a rigours peer review ferret out and/or quantify modeling bias? Can the ISB develop a rigorous, systematic approach to assessing bias in mathmatical models and inputs used to model bay-delta outcomes?

Thank you,

Michael Brodsky Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky 201 Esplanade, Uppr Suite Capitola, CA 95010 831-469-3514 <u>michael@brodskylaw.net</u>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.